

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

09/196,524 11/20/1998 PAUL M. JESSOP 3517.1 9232 34013 7590 08/11/2004 EXAMINER HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN, LLP 299 SOUTH MAIN SUITE 1800 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN, LLP 299 SOUTH MAIN ARTICULT BARER NUMBER ARTICULT BARER NUMBER	09/196,524	11/20/1998	PAUL M. JESSOP		
299 SOUTH MAIN	34013	7590 08/11/2004			
A DT I DIT DADED MIMDED	HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN, LLP			HANDY, DWAYNE K	
		IAIN		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
			Applicant(s)		
Office Action Symmetry		09/196,524	JESSOP ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Dwayne K Handy	1743		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
THE MA - Extension - Extension - If the period - If NO period - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. which for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply brind for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 17 De	ecember 2003.			
	-	action is non-final.			
· <u></u>	ince this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is		
	osed in accordance with the practice under E.	•			
Dispositio	n of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 10-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application	n Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of 2) Notice of 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/196,524

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 10-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has amended claim 10 to now recite the limitation of the "...receiving plates unconnected to and unconnectable to any other structure and having only a plurality of apertures....". This is a negative limitation that is unsupported by the specification and therefore new matter. In disclosing the receiving plate, applicant has described the plates as "...having a plurality of openings for receiving pipette tips in a substantially vertical alignment at a medial position thereof. The loaded receiving plates can be stacked one atop of another with the pipette tips contained within a first receiving plate contained within a first receiving plate being received in the top openings of the aligned pipette tips contained within the underlying receiving plate of the stack..." (page 3, line 27 - page 4, line 2) and as having a substantially rectangular shape and includes a rectangular array of 96 openings for receiving the pipette tips..... it is understood that receiving plate can include any number of openings located at any suitable configuration which matches the configuration which matches the configuration of corresponding openings located on a pipette tip holding tray..." (page 6, lines 14-19).

As shown in these passages, applicant has not disclosed a receiving plate that is "unconnected to and unconnectable to any other structure and having only a plurality of apertures". Similar language is present later in claim 10, line 19 in claiming the transfer member. In line 19 the transfer member is "...configured to not be connectable to any receiving plate of said stack of receiving plates...". This is also unsupported in the specification. Applicant describes the transfer member on page 4, lines 4 – 15 and again on page 7, lines 11-25. The transfer member is described on page 7 as comprising "...a flat rectangular plate having dimensions that substantially match those of receiving plate. A plurality of projections or bosses are attached to a bottom surface of rectangular plate to extend away therefrom a distance selected to facilitate connection and stable alignment of the pipette tips..." Again, these passages fail to disclose a transfer member that is "configured to not be connectable to any receiving plate." Similar language is present in the following places: claim 13, lines 2-3; claim 16, lines 4-5 and lines 13-15; and claim 21, lines 4-5 and lines 13-15. For the reasons stated above, these claims are rejected as well.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/196,524

Art Unit: 1743

4. Claims 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant has amended claim 10 to now recite the limitation of the "...receiving plates unconnected to and unconnectable to any other structure and having only a plurality of apertures....". This is unclear. It is unclear to the Examiner what structural features are required to meet the limitation of being "unconnectable to any other structure". For example, can it be glued to another element? Tethered? Screwed or snapped together? What features are required in order to NOT be able to connect the receiving element to another element in one of the ways the Examiner just suggested?

Inventorship

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/196,524 Page 5

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 10-14 were previously rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scaramella et al. (5,324,482). This rejection has been removed. Please see Response to Arguments below.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. In light of applicant's amendment submitted 11/25/2003, the Examiner has removed the prior art rejection involving the reference "Scaramella". The amendments have, however, led to the rejection of the

claims under U.S.C. 112 first and second paragraphs. Therefore, the claims remain rejected.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne K Handy whose telephone number is (571)-272-1259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/196,524

Art Unit: 1743

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DKH August 6, 2004

> Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700